```
ADAM A. REEVES (NYBN 2363877)
    Attorney for the United States,
    Acting Under Authority Conferred By 28 U.S.C. § 515
    HALLIE HOFFMAN (CABN 210020)
 3
    Chief, Criminal Division
 4
    JEFF SCHENK (CABN 234355)
 5
    JOHN C. BOSTIC (CABN 264367)
    ROBERT S. LEACH (CABN 196191)
    VANESSA BAEHR-JONES (CABN 281715)
 6
    Assistant United States Attorneys
 7
          150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900
 8
          San Jose, California 95113
          Telephone: (408) 535-5589
          FAX: (408) 535-5066
 9
          john.bostic@usdoj.gov
10
    Attorneys for United States of America
11
                               UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12
                             NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13
                                       SAN JOSE DIVISION
14
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
                                               CASE NO. 18-CR-00258 EJD
15
16
          Plaintiff,
                                                STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] FOURTH
                                                SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER
17
                                                REGARDING FDA DOCUMENTS
18
    ELIZABETH HOLMES and RAMESH
    "SUNNY" BALWANI.
19
          Defendants.
20
21
          The United States of America, by and through ADAM A. REEVES, Attorney for the United
22
    States Acting Under Authority Conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 515, and JEFF SCHENK, JOHN C. BOSTIC,
23
    and ROBERT S. LEACH, Assistant United States Attorneys for the Northern District of California, and
24
    the defendants, ELIZABETH HOLMES and RAMESH "SUNNY" BALWANI, and their attorneys,
    KEVIN DOWNEY and LANCE WADE of Williams & Connolly for HOLMES, and JEFFREY B.
25
    COOPERSMITH and STEPHEN A. CAZARES of Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe for BALWANI,
26
    hereby stipulate and jointly request that the Court issue a fourth supplemental Protective Order in this
27
28
    [PROPOSED] FOURTH SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER RE FDA
    INFORMATION
    18-CR-00258 EJD
    4847-8516-5986V.1 0103509-000002
```

case as described below.

The parties stipulated to, and the Court entered, a Protective Order in this case on or about July 2, 2018 (Docket #28). A Stipulation and Supplemental Protective Order was entered by the Court on July 17, 2019 (Docket #90). On July 31, 2019, the Court entered a Stipulation and Second Supplemental Protective Order (Docket #117) and on September 17, 2019, the Court entered a Stipulation and Third Supplemental Protective Order Regarding FDA and CMS Documents (Docket #120).

On November 5, 2019, the Court issued an Order Granting Motion to Compel production of six categories of documents held by FDA and CMS (Docket #174). The Court further found "the Prosecution has knowledge of and access to the at-issue documents" and "order[ed] the Prosecution to produce the documents discussed below as part of their Rule 16 obligation, and to assist the Agencies however possible to ensure the timely production of documents." *Id.* at 3.

In order to facilitate review and production of the FDA documents responsive to the Motion to Compel, on December 1, 2019, the parties jointly moved the Court for an order requiring FDA to produce documents obtained using the ordered and agreed-upon collection terms to DOJ notwithstanding the provisions of 21 U.S.C. §§ 331(j) and 360j(c), which FDA asserted would have prohibited FDA from turning over unreviewed documents to DOJ (Docket #183). At that time, the parties noted that they would be jointly moving to amend or supplement the protective orders in this case to address the appropriate handling and review of the FDA documents to be produced by DOJ to Defendants in this case. *Id.* The Court entered the Stipulation and Order Regarding Certain FDA Documents on December 2, 2019 (Docket #184).

Accordingly, in order to facilitate the production of responsive FDA documents by DOJ to the Defendants, the parties stipulate and agree to a Court order as follows:

1. All FDA documents and information produced by DOJ in response to the Motion to Compel after November 5, 2019, shall be referred to herein as "Confidential FDA Materials" and shall include a header or footer with the words "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY FDA MATERIALS -- SUBJECT TO FOURTH SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER."

[PROPOSED] FOURTH SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER RE FDA INFORMATION 2 18-CR-00258 EJD 4847-8516-5986V.1 0103509-000002

commercial information (hereafter, "third-party TS/CCI") contained within the Confidential FDA

Confidential FDA Materials to defendants' defense team (as defined below in subsection (a)) in

Materials, facilitating compliance with the Order Granting Motion to Compel, DOJ will disclose the

accordance with the following limitations on the use of and access to the Confidential FDA Materials.

team" refers to (1) each Defendant's counsel of record; (2) other attorneys on Defendants' defense team

who may be consulted regarding case strategy in the above-captioned matter; and (3) paralegals, legal

counsel of record on this case, provided that all individuals included in categories (2)-(3) have been

advised of their obligations under this Fourth Supplemental Protective Order and have affirmed to

Defendants' counsel of record that they agree to be bound by the terms of this Fourth Supplemental

Protective Order. The term "defense team" does not include Defendants, their family members, or their

agents not assisting Defendants' counsel of record in this case, nor does it include expert witnesses or

teams of the defense team members' obligations under this Fourth Supplemental Protective Order and

ensure the defense team members' agreement to follow this Fourth Supplemental Protective Order, prior

Counsel") may review Confidential FDA Materials in this case, Defendant's defense team must review

each document to be shown to Non-Counsel and determine whether it contains any third-party TS/CCI.

If the Defendant's defense team determines in good faith that the document does not contain any third-

Before any Defendant, expert witness, or consultant (collectively, "Non-

assistants, support staff, contract attorneys, and document review platform vendors assisting Defendants'

In order to protect from disclosure any non-Theranos trade secret or confidential

For purposes of this Fourth Supplemental Protective Order, the term "defense

Defendants' counsel of record shall advise all members of their respective defense

2.

a.

h.

c.

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

consultants.

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28 party TS/CCI, it may be shown to Non-Counsel and Non-Counsel must comply with the terms of this Fourth Supplemental Protective Order, including this paragraph. If the document contains any thirdparty TS/CCI, it may not be shown to any Non-Counsel until the defense team provides the document to

to providing any defense team members with access to Confidential FDA Materials.

FDA for expedited review by personnel not involved at any time with witness preparation in the DOJ or

[PROPOSED] FOURTH SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER RE FDA INFORMATION

SEC cases (SEC v. Balwani, 18-cv-01603 (N.D. Cal.)) (hereinafter "FDA Review Personnel") for
redaction of all third-party TS/CCI and receives the document back with redactions or the agency
notifies Defendants' counsel that no redactions are needed. "Expedited review" means that FDA will
respond to Defendants' counsel regarding potential redactions for third-party TS/CCI within five days
per every 350 pages, not including weekends or federal holidays. Defendants' counsel of record may
challenge any agency decision regarding redactions by bringing a motion before the Court. FDA
Review Personnel may not identify or communicate the substance of any document presented by the
defense for TS/CCI review to any custodian whose files are the subject of the FDA's document
collection efforts (except for David Mednick, the supervising attorney for disclosure at FDA's Office of
Chief Counsel), any attorney representing FDA in the instant case, the SEC case, and the underlying
investigations, or anyone at the Department of Justice, FBI, United States Postal Inspection Service,
SEC, and FDA's Office of Criminal Investigations. For purposes of clarity, FDA Review Personnel will
not disclose the documents or identifying information about the documents to, or otherwise discuss such
documents with, anyone involved with witness preparation in the DOJ or SEC cases. Once Non-
Counsel may review a document, Non-Counsel may only review it (1) in the presence of that
Defendant's counsel of record or another member of the defense team, or (2) via an electronic document
review platform utilized and controlled by Defendants' counsel. Non-Counsel may not take any
Confidential FDA Materials out of the room where they are meeting with the defense team and, if Non-
Counsel is reviewing the documents via electronic document review platform, the documents may not
be photographed, printed, copied, or reproduced in any manner. Non-Counsel may not write down or
memorialize any information contained in the Confidential FDA Materials, except in direct
communications with members of Defendant's defense team which communications to or from Non-
Counsel may include a copy of Confidential FDA Materials For purposes of clarity, once Non-Counsel
may review a document, Non-Counsel may attach Confidential FDA Materials to emails sent directly to
members of Defendant's defense team, and members of Defendant's defense team may attach
Confidential FDA Materials to emails sent directly to Non-Counsel. Non-Counsel shall not forward or
copy any such email to anyone other than a member of Defendant's defense team. Non-Counsel may
[PROPOSED] FOURTH SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER RE FDA

possession of Confidential FDA Materials, including any Non-Counsel unless permitted under

than assisting in the defense of this case and the parallel SEC case for Mr. Balwani.

not utilize any information obtained by review of the Confidential FDA Materials for any purpose other

maintain Confidential FDA Materials safely and securely, and shall exercise reasonable care in ensuring

the confidentiality of those materials by (1) not permitting anyone other than defense team members and

Non-Counsel (when permitted under Paragraph 2(c)) to see Confidential FDA Materials; (2) not

divulging to anyone other than the defense teams and Non-Counsel (when permitted under Paragraph

be outside the defense teams' offices, secure electronic document review platforms and electronic

in part, the information in any Confidential FDA Materials, or to the extent that copies are made for

authorized use by members of the defense teams or Non-Counsel (when permitted under Paragraph

2(c)), such notes, copies, or reproductions become Confidential FDA Materials subject to this Fourth

use Confidential FDA Materials only for the litigation of this matter and, for Mr. Balwani, the SEC case

and for no other purpose. Litigation of this matter includes any appeal filed by a Defendant in this case

or, for Mr. Balwani, the SEC case, and any motion filed by a Defendant pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255

contents of such materials in court filings, the party shall first consult with FDA Review Personnel to

ensure that the document is properly redacted for public filing. Such FDA Review Personnel shall

Supplemental Protective Order and must be handled in accordance with the terms of this Fourth

devices utilized for such review, homes, vehicles, or personal presence.

2(c)) the contents of Confidential FDA Materials; and (3) not permitting Confidential FDA Materials to

The defense teams shall not permit anyone other than the defense teams to have

The defense teams and Non-Counsel (when permitted under Paragraph 2(c)) shall

To the extent that notes, including emails, are made that memorialize, in whole or

The defense teams and Non-Counsel (when permitted under Paragraph 2(c)), shall

Before any party files Confidential FDA Materials with the Court or divulges the

2

d.

f.

g.

Supplemental Protective Order.

h.

relating to the DOJ case.

i.

Paragraph 2(c).

3

45

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

[PROPOSED] FOURTH SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER RE FDA INFORMATION 5

18-CR-00258 EJD

respond to any inquiry by Defendants regarding redactions for public filing within five days per every 350 pages, not including weekends or federal holidays. The parties further agree to meet and confer with FDA in advance of trial regarding appropriate procedures for the use of Confidential FDA Materials at trial.

j. Any Confidential FDA Materials disclosed after the November 5, 2019 Order Granting Motion to Compel shall be subject to the terms of this Fourth Supplemental Protective Order. Willful violation of this Fourth Supplemental Protective Order, or any court order, by the parties, defense counsel or Defendants' agents, and Non-Counsel, can subject the violator to sanctions for contempt of court.

k. Upon the final disposition of this case and, for Mr. Balwani, the SEC case – i.e., after the conclusion of the latter of any acquittal or not guilty verdict, and post-conviction or post-guilty verdict proceeding, any settlement, or any appeal, any Confidential FDA Materials shall not be used, in any way, in any other matter, inconsistent with this Fourth Supplemental Protective Order absent a court order. All Confidential FDA Materials maintained in the defense teams' files shall remain subject to this Fourth Supplemental Protective Order unless and until such order is modified by court order. Within sixty days after final disposition of this case for Ms. Holmes and, for Mr. Balwani, this case and the SEC case, the defense teams shall return the Confidential FDA Materials to the government or certify that the Confidential FDA Materials have been destroyed or deleted from any digital storage, only to the extent that such return to the government or destruction does not violate any professional obligation of defense counsel under the California Rules of Professional Conduct, the California Business and Professions Code, or any other rule of professional responsibility to maintain client files.

1. In the event that there is a substitution of counsel prior to when such documents must be returned or destroyed, this Fourth Supplemental Protective Order shall be binding on new defense counsel, who then shall (1) become the defense team's custodian of the Confidential FDA Materials; and (2) upon the conclusion of appellate and post-conviction proceedings, become responsible for returning to the government or certifying the destruction of all Confidential FDA Materials.

[PROPOSED] FOURTH SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER RE FDA INFORMATION 6
18-CR-00258 EJD
4847-8516-5986V.1 0103509-000002

1	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
2			
3	DATED: December 23, 2019	Respectfully submitted,	
4		ADAM A. REEVES	
5		Attorney for the United States Acting Under Authority Conferred	
6		by 28 U.S.C. § 515	
		/s/	
7		JEFF SCHENK JOHN C. BOSTIC	
8		ROBERT S. LEACH	
9		VANESSA BAEHR-JONES	
		Assistant United States Attorneys	
10	DATED D 1 00 0010		
11	DATED: December 23, 2019		
12		/s/ KEVIN DOWNEY	
13		LANCE WADE	
4		Attorneys for Elizabeth Holmes	
15			
16		ELIZABETH HOLMES	
17			
18	DATED: December 23, 2019		
19		/s/	
20		JEFFREY B. COOPERSMITH STEPHEN A. CAZARES	
21			
22			
23	SO ORDERED.		
24	SO ORDERED.		
25	DATED:		
26 26		HONORABLE EDWARD J. DAVILA	
		United States District Court Judge	
27			
28	[PROPOSED] FOURTH SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER RE FDA INFORMATION 7 18-CR-00258 EJD 4847-8516-5986V.1 0103509-000002		